WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

FRESHWATER MONITORING UNIT

STREAM DISCHARGE TECHNICAL NOTES

STATION ID: 25F060

STATION NAME: Mill Creek

WATER YEAR: 2007

AUTHOR: Casey Clishe

Introduction

Watershed Description

Mill Creek is one of three watersheds in the Lower Columbia River Intensively Monitored Watersheds project complex. Over 95% of the underlying lithology is of volcanic origin, consisting primarily of flow basalts with interbedded sandstone. The basin is rain dominated with an average annual precipitation rate of 160 centimeters. Focal species within the drainage include coho, chinook, chum, steelhead, and cutthroat. Land cover is 94% forested. Sixty-eight percent of the forested lands are managed by the Department of Natural Resources, and 32% are managed by private landowners. Road density estimates in the complex range from 4.2 to 5.8 miles per square mile.

Gage Location

The monitoring station on Mill Creek is located at the Mill Creek Road bridge approximately 0.3 miles upstream from the confluence with the Columbia River.

Table 1.

Drainage Area (square miles)	30.5
Latitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	46 11 26 N
Longitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	123 10 43 W

Discharge

Table 2. Discharge Statistics.

Mean Annual Discharge (cfs)	110
Median Annual Discharge (cfs)	45
Maximum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	697
Minimum Daily Mean Discharge (cfs)	7.0
Maximum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	950
Minimum Instantaneous Discharge (cfs)	7.0
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 10 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	329
Discharge Equaled or Exceeded 90 % of Recorded Time (cfs)	8.1
Number of Days Discharge is Greater Than Range of Ratings	2
Number of Days Discharge is Less Than Range of Ratings	0

Note: Statistics displayed in Table 2 may not include values in which the predicted discharge exceeds the range of ratings.

Narrative

The discharge statistics in Table 2 do not include predicted discharge values for November 6 and November 7, 2006. Most stage values recorded on those two days exceeded the stage value associated with twice the highest measured discharge for WY2007 rating tables. The largest storm event of the water year peaked on November 6 and 7, 2006.

Error Analysis

Table 3. Error Analysis Summary.

Logger Drift Error (% of discharge)	d/n/a
Weighted Rating Error (% of discharge)	10.1
Total Potential Error (% of discharge)	10.1

Rating Table(s)

Measurements

Rating Error (%)

Table 4. Rating Table Summary

Table 4. Kating 17	able Sullillary		
Rating Table No.	3	4	
Period of Ratings	10/01-11/10	11/10-09/30	
Range of Ratings (cfs)	5.5-994	4.4-994	
No. of Defining Measurements	14	16	
Rating Error (%)	9.3	10.2	
Rating Table No.			
Period of Ratings			
Range of Ratings (cfs)			
No. of Defining Measurements			
Rating Error (%)			
	•	·	
Rating Table No.			
Period of Ratings			
Range of Ratings (cfs)			
No. of Defining			

Narrative

Two rating curves covered almost the entire stage record for WY2007. The moderately large storm event of November 6 and 7 2006 caused a shift from Rating 3 to Rating 4. Changes to the control were limited to moderate scour. The moderate scour resulted in some change to the low and middle sections of Rating 3.

Stage Record

Table 5. Stage Record Summary

Minimum Recorded Stage (feet)	1.53
Maximum Recorded Stage (feet)	6.58
Range of Recorded Stage (feet)	5.05
Number of Un-Reported Days	2
Number of Days Qualified as Estimates	54
Number of Days Qualified as Unreliable Estimates	0

Narrative

The flood event of November 6 and 7 damaged station infrastructure and temporarily disabled the station. Data gaps within the stage record caused by power failure, storm damage, and equipment failure were typically filled with well-correlated stage data from an adjacent station. Smaller gaps in the record were filled using linear interpolation. Records associated with these edits were qualified as estimates. Tidal spikes were removed from the final record by manual editing.

Modeled Discharge

Table 6. Model Summary

Model Type (Slope conveyance, other, none)	none
Range of Modeled Stage (feet)	
Range of Modeled Discharge (cfs)	
Valid Period for Model	
Model Confidence	

Surveys

Table 7. Survey Type and Date (station, cross section, longitudinal)

Туре	Date
Station	10/04/2007

Activities Completed		